Case 3:23-cv-03417-VC Document 504-7 Filed 03/28/25 Page 1 of 10

EXHIBIT F

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Sy Choudhury 30(b)(6) Highly Confidential - Subject to Protective Order

```
Page 1
            UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
          NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
               SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
RICHARD KADREY, et al.,
          Individual and
          Representative
          Plaintiffs,
                                Case No. 3:23-cv-03417-VC
V.
META PLATFORMS, INC.,
          Defendant.
  ** CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER **
  Videotaped Deposition of meta Platforms, Inc.
       by and through its corporate designee
                    SY CHOUDHURY
             San Francisco, California
             Thursday, December 5, 2024
            Reported Stenographically by
       Michael P. Hensley, RDR, CSR No. 14114
               DIGITAL EVIDENCE GROUP
           1730 M. Street, NW, Suite 812
               Washington, D.C. 20036
                   (202) 232-0646
```

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Sy Choudhury 30(b)(6) Highly Confidential - Subject to Protective Order

	Page 17
1	A. We've had, I'll say, various notable data
2	points within that. One of the one of the
3	earlier data points was when we worked for REI
4	research team for a dataset of I think it was
5	some some scientific text as well as visual
6	imagery from for a deal that we did
7	with to support one of the FAIR projects.
8	I think that was in 2022, late 2022.
9	In early 2023, this is another data
10	point where we looked at and explored licensing many
11	types of data for LLMs. This included everything
12	from fiction book data, scientific textbook data,
13	normal textbook data, images, videos. It was very
14	early days in us exploring what is available in the
15	market and also what our product or engineering team
16	really looked to utilize.
17	Q. Well, let's just keep going with those
18	data points, then. And would the next data point be
19	April 7th, 2023, when there was a decision to stop
20	licensing the licensing process for acquiring
21	text data for LLMs or, sorry, the pause?
22	ATTORNEY HARTNETT: Object to form.

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Sy Choudhury 30(b)(6) Highly Confidential - Subject to Protective Order

Page 18 1 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So in early April there was a pause on licensing certain categories of 2 data that included the text data -- some of the text 3 4 data categories. 5 BY ATTORNEY PRITT: And what text categories did that include? 6 Q. 7 Α. That included fiction books, nonfiction 8 books, and coding. 9 Any other categories? Q. 10 Α. No. Those are the three that we decided 11 to pause on. 12 And what are the data categories that Ο. 13 you -- that Meta decided not to pause on in early 14 April 2023? 15 While we did not have an explicit 16 discussion or decision to not pause, the data 17 categories that -- the other data categories that we were continuing to explore included images, videos, 18 19 and 3D objects. 20 Q. And why did Meta decide to pause its licensing process for acquiring fiction books, 21 22 nonfiction books, and coding data for its LLMs in

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Sy Choudhury 30(b)(6) Highly Confidential - Subject to Protective Order

Page 19 1 early April 2023? 2 ATTORNEY HARTNETT: And I would just 3 object to the extent that you need to respond with attorney-client privilege, please exclude that from 4 your answer. If you can respond without reference 5 to that, you can answer. 6 7 THE WITNESS: There was a meeting I had 8 with my boss, Marc Shedroff, and our attorney, 9 Natascha Parks, and the content of the meeting is 10 under attorney-client privilege. 11 All I can say is that we considered and 12 discussed a variety of factors and agreed that the 13 decision would be to pause on those three 14 categories. 15 BY ATTORNEY PRITT: 16 Now, were there any business reasons for Q. deciding to pause the licensing process for 17 acquiring fiction books, nonfiction books, and 18 19 coding data for use in Meta's LLMs, at that time? 20 ATTORNEY HARTNETT: And I would just have 21 the same objection and instruction. You can answer 22 if there's a nonprivileged information you can

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Sy Choudhury 30(b)(6) Highly Confidential - Subject to Protective Order

Page 20 1 provide. THE WITNESS: 2 There's only privileged information in that meeting, and so I'm not able to 3 talk further about that. 4 5 BY ATTORNEY PRITT: Okay. So to clarify, it's Meta's 6 Q. 7 testimony that there were no business reasons for 8 deciding to pause the licensing process for 9 acquiring fiction books, nonfiction books, and 10 coding data for use in Meta's LLMs in early April 2023; is that correct? 11 12 ATTORNEY HARTNETT: Objection to the form. 13 Misstates his testimony. 14 I -- if you can answer as to whether there 15 are business reasons that don't entail conveying 16 legal advice, you may answer. If not, I instruct 17 you not to answer. 18 THE WITNESS: The -- although this is not 19 comprehensive, the coding and textbook categories do 20 not -- do not apply to the one, I'll say, business 21 reason. 22 I'd like to point out that the -- in the

Page 21

- 1 fiction category, we quickly learned from the
- 2 business development team that most of the
- 3 publishers we were talking to, they themselves were
- 4 representing that they did not have, actually, the
- 5 rights to license the data to us. And so it would
- 6 take a long time to engage with all their authors.
- 7 BY ATTORNEY PRITT:
- 8 Q. So was timing and the ability to obtain
- 9 licenses for the use of fiction books to use as data
- 10 for Meta's LLMs a business reason for why Meta
- 11 decided to pause it's licensing efforts for those
- 12 books in early April 2023?
- 13 A. It was one of the considerations, yes.
- Q. Were there any other business
- 15 considerations for deciding to pause licensing
- 16 efforts for fiction books to use as data for Meta's
- 17 LLMs in early April 2023?
- 18 ATTORNEY HARTNETT: And you could answer
- 19 to the extent it doesn't reveal attorney-client
- 20 privileged advice.
- 21 A. I would say yes in all three of the
- 22 categories that I've mentioned. Another business

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Sy Choudhury 30(b)(6) Highly Confidential - Subject to Protective Order

Page 22 reason is the very slow uptake in engagement and 1 2 interest from the different content partners that we 3 had reached out to or tried to reach out to, to 4 actually engage in a meaningful conversation. 5 So that was another reason, which has nothing to do with speed. It's just volume and 6 7 willingness to engage. 8 There were some that we, of course, had 9 good productive conversations with, but it was very 10 few. 11 Q. And which partners that you're referring 12 to were unwilling to engage? 13 Α. We -- we send out slew of emails to --14 slew of companies: and -- I mean there was a 15 whole list. 16 I don't recall the entire list, but I 17 remember we had made a long list from initially scouring the Internet of top publishers, et cetera, 18 and we didn't get contact and feedback from -- from 19 20 a lot of our cold call outreaches to try to 21 establish contact. 22 There were a few, like , that did,

Page 23

- 1 you know, engage, but not many.
- Q. Are you aware of any other licensing
- 3 efforts where Meta decided to stop pursuing licenses
- 4 because it didn't get contact and feedback from cold
- 5 call outreaches?
- A. You know, when we've done or we attempted
- 7 to do deals in the past for FAIR, where we've not
- 8 been able to achieve a deal in the same manner. And
- 9 so it's -- it does happen.
- 10 It's not just in this space, but it's work
- 11 stream by work stream, and it's usually related to a
- 12 category that -- of companies you're going after.
- 13 Q. Sorry. I don't understand the answer.
- 14 Are you aware of any other licensing
- 15 efforts where Meta decided to stop pursuing licenses
- 16 because it didn't get contact and feedback from cold
- 17 outreach?
- 18 A. I am aware of licensing efforts such, for
- 19 example, we tried to license 3D worlds from
- 20 different game engine and game manufacturers for our
- 21 AI research team. And in the same way that I'm
- 22 describing here for fiction and textbook data, we

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Sy Choudhury 30(b)(6) Highly Confidential - Subject to Protective Order

Page 24

- 1 got very little engagement to even have a
- 2 conversation.
- 3 Q. And did Meta decide to pause its licensing
- 4 efforts for 3D worlds?
- 5 A. Yes. We decided to pause the efforts
- 6 after that.
- 7 O. And did Meta use an alternative source of
- 8 data rather than licensing it?
- 9 A. We decided to -- in that case, we decided
- 10 to build our own solution.
- 11 Q. And when Meta decided to pause its
- 12 licensing efforts for nonfiction and fiction books
- 13 and code, did Meta decide to write its own books and
- 14 code to use as data for its LLMs?
- 15 A. I don't know the answer to that.
- 16 Engineering would know the answer to that.
- 17 Q. Are there any business reasons other than
- 18 the two you have listed for why Meta decided to
- 19 pause its licensing efforts for nonfiction and
- 20 fiction books and code in early April 2023?
- 21 A. At this time, I can't think of any.
- 22 Q. Okay.